

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,242	09/08/2003	Nobuhiro Yasui	03500.017136.	8855	
5514 75	90 10/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	K CELLA HARPER	RICKMAN,	RICKMAN, HOLLY C		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ	,		1773		

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	N					
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/656,242	YASUI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Holly Rickman	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 July 2005</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,11-13,15-20 and 51-55</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) <u>8,11,13,15-18,20 and 51-54</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,55</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
oj Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of form FTO-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	/=					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 118 05 6) ☐ Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/656,242

Art Unit: 1773

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/18/05 has been entered.

## Claim Objections

2. The objection to claim 11 is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. The rejection of claims 8 and 11-20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kikitsu et al. (US 6602620) is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,242 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The rejection of claims 9-10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kikitsu et al. (US 6602620) is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.

### **Double Patenting**

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 8, 11, 13, 15-18, 20, and 51-52 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 and 4-5 of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/653,978 (now allowed). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the species set forth in claims 1-2 and 4-5 of US 10/653,978 includes all of the limitations of the present claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,242 Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

9. Claims 8, 11, 13, 15-18, 20 and 51-54 are provisionally rejected under the judicially

created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 and

4-5 of copending Application No. 10/640,047. Although the conflicting claims are not identical,

they are not patentably distinct from each other because the copending application claims include

all of the limitations of the present claims, including the use of amorphous SiGe for the substrate.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting

claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 12 and 55 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 7/18/05 have been fully considered but they are moot in view

of the new grounds of rejection.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,242 Page 5

Art Unit: 1773

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Holly Rickman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1773